

**Mr Jim Wallace QC MSP,
Minister for Justice,
St. Andrew's House,
Regent Road,
EDINBURGH EH1 3DG**

**8, Glendoune Road,
Clarkston.
GLASGOW G76 7TT**

3, April, 2000.

Dear Mr Wallace,

Thank you for your letter of 22, March, 2000, the contents of which are noted.

You acknowledge receipt of my letters to Lord Hardie dated 14, January and 18, February, 2000 but not those of 9, June, 1999 and 12, March, 2000.

I have attached copies of these other letters for your information.

It is clear from my two meetings with the Inquiry Team that their remit takes the form of an **inspection** of SCRO and not an **investigation** of my complaints against SCRO and the Police. While they will be examining my daughter's case as a 'case study' they will not be looking at my allegations of possible perjury by SCRO witnesses and oppressive behaviour by the Crown Prosecution Service and Strathclyde Police.

It would be helpful for me to know if and when the complaints not covered by the Inquiry are going to be dealt with and by whom?

As I have stated more that once there is ample evidence from my daughter's trial of at best gross incompetence and at worst criminal behaviour by representatives of SCRO. Does it not concern you that these SCRO 'experts' have for the past year, been allowed to carry out their normal duties and produce evidence which has resulted in convictions?

Who will you hold responsible if their identifications have been flawed and innocent person's convicted as a result or if innocent people continue to be held in jail because of SCRO's past mistakes?

I hope these questions do not require to be asked publicly.

Yours sincerely,

.....
Iain A J McKie

Encls: