

www.shirleymckie.com “That was the week that was.”

2 April 2008

- Contributors to this and the www.clpex.com forum will be aware of the debate that has gone on for a number of years about the SCRO debacle and the related postings of ‘Daktari’. As the spokesperson for Fiona McBride and Les Brown and chief apologist for Peter Swan, Martin Leadbetter et al she/he, in a not so subtle mix of lies, half-truths and innuendo, has, without intending to, revealed the total poverty of their case as the Judicial enquiry approaches. Continued reliance on the Danish and Black Reports, the Sinclair case and the Justice 1 enquiry as evidence of innocence is particularly foolish as the enquiry will undoubtedly reveal.

Before the enquiry however there is Ms McBride’s Employment Tribunal against the Scottish Police Services Authority for unfair dismissal. While the debate is likely to centre more on the manner in which she was dismissed rather than the truth or otherwise of her assertions re the two fingerprints Iain has already offered himself as a witness at the Tribunal for either party. To date the offer has not been taken up.

- Anticipating the judicial enquiry ‘The Sunday Times’ in Scotland published an in-depth interview with Iain this week that has raised a few eyebrows. It is clear that the last 11 years have had effects few could really anticipate.

<http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/uk/scotland/article3647002.ece>

- ‘The Sunday Times’ also revealed that a Scottish film producer [Peter Broughan](#) is developing a film based on the case. The reporter was a little ahead of himself in stating that the film was being cast as scripts are still being discussed and of course the ever thorny question of finance has still to be settled. Nevertheless the story has led to some humorous speculation on the www.clpex.com forum.

<http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/uk/scotland/article3647570.ece>

- Concern remains that the judicial enquiry remit has been limited to protect certain individuals or organisation but at the end of the day it all depends on his lordship’s interpretation. Lord Justice Campbell comes to the enquiry with a powerful reputation for seeking out the truth and that is all we can ask. It is clear that there is scope within the published remit for an analysis of most issues and although judgement on criminal or civil liability cannot be made such matters can be referred to the Crown Office for a ruling.

For Iain’s remit analysis see:

<http://shirleymckie.com/documents/Mediaconference14.3.08statement.pdf>

The critical issue however still remains the need to ensure that all witnesses are placed on oath. At the Justice 1 Enquiry blatant lies were told and with a few honourable exceptions there was little incisive, informed examination of the testimony. There should be no hiding place for liars and those who seek to in any way hide the truth.

Meanwhile your comments on the above or any other subjects are welcome:

<http://shirleymckie.myfastforum.org/forum1.php>